

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,733	10/25/2000	Curtis Priem	18659-15C1	8456
23419	7590 11/25/2003		EXAMINER	
COOLEY GODWARD, LLP			TUNG, KEE M	
	MINO REAL FO SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	O, CA 94306		2676	12
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
ر Advisory Action	10/042,733	PRIEM ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kee M Tung	2676				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss			
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2003 FAILS T Therefore, further action by the applicant is requi final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be e condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1	red to avoid abandonment of thi either: (1) a timely filed amendm of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply ent which places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD F	FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REP 706.07(f). 	of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set ire later than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d of extension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply origina	ount of the fee. The appropriate extenally set in the final Office action; or (2)	sion fee under) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Ap 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be en	itered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	ication in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or sin	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claims	š.			
NOTE: the amendment is non-complaint	for failure to include(list) the cance	illed claims 1-24.				
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following	ng rejection(s): 35 USC 112, 1st	paragraph.				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	_ would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed a	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ recapplication in condition for allowance became		en considered but does NOT	place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consideraised by the Examiner in the final rejection		OLELY to issues which were	newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended cl	ndment(s) a)⊠ will not be enter aims would be rejected is provid	red or b) will be entered arded below or appended.	nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as	follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 25-44.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)	☐ approved or b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S	statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
10. ☐ Other:		Kee M Tung Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2676				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims still read by the prior art indicated in the Final rejection mailed 9/8/03..